

1 TESTIMONY

2 OF

3  
4  
5 CAITLIN DURKOVICH  
6 ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION  
7 NATIONAL PROTECTION AND PROGRAMS DIRECTORATE  
8 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

9  
10 BEFORE  
11 THE

12  
13 COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE  
14 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, PUBLIC BUILDINGS AND  
15 EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

16  
17 U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
18 WASHINGTON, D.C.

19  
20 “BLACKOUT! ARE WE PREPARED TO MANAGE THE AFTERMATH OF A CYBER-  
21 ATTACK OR OTHER FAILURE OF THE ELECTRICAL GRID?”

22  
23 April 14, 2016

24 **Introduction**

25 Chairman Barletta, Ranking Member Carson and Members of this Subcommittee, good  
26 afternoon. My name is Caitlin Durkovich and I am the Assistant Secretary for Infrastructure  
27 Protection within the National Protection and Programs Directorate (NPPD). Thank you for the  
28 opportunity to discuss how the NPPD fulfills its responsibility to support the Federal  
29 government's response to and recovery from all-hazards events, including the physical impacts  
30 of cyber incidents.

31 NPPD carries out the Department's cyber and infrastructure protection mission by leading the  
32 national effort to secure and enhance the resilience of the Nation's infrastructure. To carry out  
33 this mission, the Office of Infrastructure Protection leads and coordinates national programs and  
34 policies, and established strong partnerships across government and the private sector. We  
35 conduct and facilitate vulnerability and consequence assessments to help critical infrastructure  
36 owners and operators and State, local, tribal, and territorial partners understand and address risks.  
37 We provide information on emerging threats and hazards so that appropriate actions can be  
38 taken. We offer tools and training to our partners to help them manage the risks to their assets,  
39 systems, and networks.<sup>1</sup>

40 The partnerships and coordination structures we maintain and support during steady state  
41 conditions—before incidents occur—set the stage for the way we execute our responsibilities  
42 following an incident. To that end, my testimony today will provide you with an overview of the  
43 work that NPPD conducts to promote and maintain sector coordination structures, characterize  
44 national level risks to infrastructure (in particular the electric grid), and support response efforts  
45 in the event of an incident.

46 A robust, secure, and resilient energy infrastructure is essential to serving the needs of today's  
47 society, protecting public health and safety, economic security, and national security. U.S.  
48 infrastructure by its very nature supports communities with constantly evolving requirements.  
49 The electricity sub-sector in particular is currently facing a variety of threats and hazards,  
50 including malicious cyber activity, physical attacks, aging infrastructure, equipment failure, and  
51 extreme weather-related events.

52 A targeted cyber incident—either alone or combined with a physical attack—on the power  
53 system could lead to huge costs and cascading effects, with sustained outages over large portions  
54 of the electric grid and prolonged disruptions in communications, water and wastewater  
55 treatment services, health care delivery, financial services, and transportation. For example, the  
56 results of a 2015 Lloyds of London study suggested that a widespread cyber-attack on the  
57 Northeastern region of the United States, i.e., damaging 50 generators (approximately seven

---

<sup>1</sup> NPPD carries out its private sector engagement under and through authority delegated to the Directorate by the DHS Secretary, which includes but is not limited to: 6 U.S.C. §§ 121(d)(5), 121(d)(6), 121(d)(8), and 121(d)(10).

58 percent) could trigger a scenario where 93 million people are without power and the impact on  
59 the U.S. economy could range from \$243 billion to \$544 billion, or around a trillion dollars in  
60 the most extreme scenario (where 14 percent of the generators are damaged).<sup>2</sup>

## 61 **Coordination Structures and Voluntary Partnerships**

62 Since DHS was formed in 2003, we have been working with private sector partners to help them  
63 build the Nation’s resilience to all types of threats. Under the National Infrastructure Protection  
64 Plan (NIPP), DHS is the lead, or co-lead, for ten of the 16 infrastructure sectors. In addition, the  
65 Office of Infrastructure Protection (IP) provides cross sector collaboration and coordination  
66 functions across all the 16 sectors by sharing information, conducting assessments of critical  
67 assets, and engaging in joint planning and exercises in order to support a national understanding  
68 of physical and cyber risks. This includes working in close partnership with the Department of  
69 Energy regarding the security of the electric grid.

70 Most of the Department’s work with owners and operators is voluntary and the successful  
71 execution of the critical infrastructure mission relies on strong voluntary collaboration with the  
72 private sector. One key approach is to ensure that information about threats is communicated  
73 quickly to owners and operators. Through our work, DHS participates in joint Federal  
74 Government/Private Sector information sharing designed to ensure that our partners understand  
75 how disruptions and attacks on infrastructure can impact homeland security, community  
76 resilience, and our economy, and take informed action to mitigate those risks.

### 77 Industry Partnerships

#### 78 **Sector Councils**

79 The partnership approach is driven by work conducted with the critical infrastructure councils,  
80 including the Electricity Subsector Coordinating Council (ESCC). The ESCC includes Chief  
81 Executive Officers (CEOs) representing each segment of the electric power industry, as well as  
82 heads of the major industry trade associations related to the Subsector. A major priority of the  
83 partnership is unifying industry and government efforts to plan and prepare coordinated  
84 responses to incidents of national significance—whether physical or cyber. The ESCC and  
85 government meetings, which take place three times a year, provide a venue to discuss national-  
86 level responses to major incidents, physical security and cybersecurity, grid resilience, and  
87 progress made on joint industry/government initiatives. These meetings are made possible by  
88 the Critical Infrastructure Partnership Advisory Council (CIPAC), an authority which allows  
89 government to engage in discussions about joint critical infrastructure planning, coordination,  
90 implementation, and operational issues, along with other relevant matters.

---

<sup>2</sup> Lloyd’s and the University of Cambridge Centre for Risk Studies, *Business Blackout: The insurance implications of a cyber attack on the US power grid*, Emerging Risk Report 2015, innovation series (London, UK: 2015). The report also noted that while the scenario was improbable, it is technologically possible.

91 DHS and the Department of Energy (DOE), which serves as the Energy Sector-Specific Agency  
92 (SSA), collaborate with other interagency partners to provide classified threat briefings to CEOs  
93 on physical and cyber threats.

94 Meetings with the ESCC enable industry and government to share perspectives, identify joint  
95 priorities, and track progress. Projects conducted through this partnership include:

- 96 • The Electricity Substation Security Awareness Campaign: A 2013-2014 campaign  
97 conducted in close collaboration and coordination with DOE, the Department of Justice’s  
98 Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), the North American Electric Reliability  
99 Corporation (NERC), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), and multiple  
100 industry partners. Taking place in ten U.S. and three Canadian cities, it increased  
101 awareness of the evolving risk environment and promoted increased collaboration on risk  
102 mitigation strategies, protective measures, and industry best practices.
- 103 • The ESCC Playbook: The Playbook is a crisis management framework to enable senior  
104 executives from industry and government to coordinate effectively on response and  
105 recovery matters. Following GridEx II, the ESCC developed the Playbook for  
106 responding to a National-level incident that disrupts the electric grid. The framework  
107 ensures senior government and industry executives are communicating and are available  
108 to support response and recovery efforts. By opening and formalizing these lines of  
109 communication, the industry and government can better coordinate efforts to protect the  
110 electric grid and recover from incidents as quickly as possible. The Playbook was tested  
111 through tabletop exercises with the ESCC and their staff. It was tested again as part of  
112 GridEx III.
- 113 • Cross-sector coordination: DHS and DOE work with the ESCC on efforts to  
114 institutionalize coordination with other sectors (e.g. telecommunications and  
115 transportation dependencies and interdependencies).

## 116 **Assessing Infrastructure Security and Managing Infrastructure Risk**

117 Risks, in particular grid related risks, do not conform to traditional boundaries of domain, sector,  
118 or geography. This makes the work that IP does in assessing interdependencies and larger scale  
119 vulnerabilities and consequences all the more important for gaining a full picture of risk, and  
120 informing risk decisions before, during, and after an incident.

## 121 **Analyzing Interdependencies and Cascading Effects**

122 Through our Protective Security Advisors (PSAs) located across the country, we offer critical  
123 infrastructure partners hands on assistance with vulnerability and security assessments like the  
124 Regional Resiliency Assessment Program (RRAP). The RRAP is an IP-led assessment of  
125 specific critical infrastructure and regional analysis of the surrounding infrastructure to examine  
126 vulnerabilities, threats, and potential consequences from an all-hazards perspective. The  
127 assessment identifies dependencies, interdependencies, cascading effects, resiliency

128 characteristics, and gaps. Energy is one of the primary focuses of a number of RRAP projects,  
129 and the dependence of other infrastructure sectors on energy, especially electric power, is  
130 regularly examined during the course of other projects. Since 2014, several RRAP projects  
131 included an assessment of security, resilience, and criticality of Business Systems, Industrial  
132 Control Systems (ICS), and Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) systems that  
133 provide a key service or function within a broader community or system of critical infrastructure.

134 Conducting the RRAP projects in the Energy Sector helps mitigate high-risk single points of  
135 failure and the lack of redundancy across systems, improve emergency response capabilities, and  
136 identify critical supply chain vulnerabilities. One example of a successful RRAP is a 2016  
137 Region I Energy project that focuses on electric power substations with large power transformers  
138 and their resilience to extreme weather events. Based on recommendations and findings from the  
139 Quadrennial Energy Review conducted by DOE, the RRAP project will identify large power  
140 transformers in substations across Region I, assess their vulnerabilities, and provide data to  
141 decision makers who might better focus resources to protect the most vulnerable assets.

142 In addition to the RRAP Program, IP conducts site assistance visits and voluntary  
143 inspections using the Infrastructure Support Tool (IST). The IST makes use of a threat  
144 agnostic, model based risk analysis methodology, allowing owners and operators of  
145 critical infrastructure to apply the results of an IST inspection to a multitude of threat and  
146 hazard scenarios, informing their decisions about buying down risk.

#### 147 **National Response and Infrastructure Systems**

148 The response to a major disaster or attack resulting in a failure of the electrical grid would  
149 require a nationwide effort, drawing on the catastrophic planning frameworks that make up the  
150 National Preparedness System. Such a response effort also requires the support of steady-state  
151 coordination structures established under the NIPP. NPPD supports FEMA and our interagency  
152 and whole community partners in strengthening the connection between the National  
153 Preparedness System and the partnership structures established under the National Infrastructure  
154 Protection Plan.

155 The coordination structures maintained under the NIPP provide a mechanism for cross-sector,  
156 coordinated information support for both situational awareness and planning efforts during  
157 response. Information requests and the development of incident-specific analysis contribute to  
158 the assessment, prioritization, restoration, and protection of infrastructure systems.

159 As the infrastructure coordination element of the National Operations Center (NOC), the  
160 National Infrastructure Coordinating Center (NICC) receives situational, operational, and  
161 incident-related information regarding the status of the Nation's critical infrastructure sectors  
162 during incidents and collects input from every SSA that is consolidated into comprehensive  
163 reporting.

164 **Sharing Information Quickly and Efficiently**

165 Information sharing is a key part of NPPD’s mission to create shared situational awareness of  
166 infrastructure impacts and vulnerabilities. NPPD, through its National Cybersecurity and  
167 Communications Integration Center (NCCIC), actively collaborates with public and private  
168 sector partners every day to make sure they have the information and tools they need to protect  
169 the systems we all rely on and continues to monitor the situation closely.

170 During a cyber or communications incident, the NCCIC is able to coordinate with State, local,  
171 and private sector partners as well as its own incident response entities and Federal partners,  
172 including law enforcement and the intelligence community so that the full capabilities of the  
173 Federal Government can be brought to bear in a coordinated manner. As the Federal  
174 Government’s 24/7 hub for cybersecurity information sharing, incident response, and  
175 coordination, the NCCIC is critical in our efforts to ensure our nation’s cybersecurity.

176 ICS-CERT

177 The Industrial Control Systems Cyber Emergency Response Team (ICS-CERT) is a response  
178 component of the NCCIC, which responds to cyber incidents, vulnerabilities, and threats that can  
179 impact industrial control systems which operate critical infrastructure across the United States. In  
180 responding to cyber incidents, the ICS-CERT coordinates with law enforcement agencies; the  
181 intelligence community; Federal and SLTT governments; and control systems owners, operators,  
182 and vendors to reduce risk to the nation’s critical infrastructure. The ICS-CERT team can  
183 provide onsite support to private sector industrial control system owners and operators, including  
184 analytic support (malware, hard drive, and log file analysis) and detailed remediation  
185 recommendations.

186 Over the last few years, the ICS-CERT and the FBI have responded to sophisticated cyber  
187 exploitation campaigns against U.S. critical infrastructure industrial control systems (ICS).  
188 These campaigns involved two different sets of malware; both of which use tactics to target and  
189 gain access to the control systems environments. The characteristics of this activity include the  
190 use of ICS zero-day vulnerabilities, malicious ICS payloads, and specific targeting of the  
191 operations environment across a variety of sectors including energy, water, critical  
192 manufacturing, communications, and more.

193 ICS-CERT continuously responds to this activity, conducting incident response and analysis,  
194 issuing alerts and warnings, and conducting briefings and outreach to highlight these campaigns.  
195 ICS-CERT is highly concerned as the sophistication of the threat actors and exploitation  
196 techniques used represent an elevated level of risk for critical infrastructure asset owners and  
197 operators.

198 By virtue of the fact that the majority of the nation's critical infrastructure is owned and operated  
199 by the private sector, DHS builds and maintains strong partnerships with owners and operators,

200 recognizing that disruptions and attacks on infrastructure impact homeland security, community  
201 resilience, and our economy. This collaboration extends back for many years, with the recent  
202 focus on raising awareness of Black Energy and other types of ICS malware. This ICS campaign  
203 also included efforts to mitigate the threat and ensure the nation’s electric grid protection.

204 Recent cyberattacks against the power grid in the Ukraine also underscore the importance of  
205 maintaining partnerships for risk management in advance of incidents, and applying the full  
206 spectrum of capabilities and tools for managing such complex risks.

## 207 **Conclusion**

208 The electric grid transcends political and geographic boundaries and its operations shift based on  
209 demand or availability of natural resources. Innovation has the potential to strengthen some  
210 aspects of the grid while at the same time creating new vulnerabilities. Making the grid secure  
211 and resilient requires focus on both the grid of today as well as the electric grid of the future.  
212 With these realities in mind, the United States and Canada have agreed to develop a joint  
213 strategy for strengthening the security and resilience of the North American electricity grid. This  
214 strategy will outline a collaborative effort to secure the grid and make it resilient against all  
215 hazards, including cyber threats.

216 The energy industry takes a holistic approach to assessing and mitigating risks from cyber  
217 attacks, physical sabotage, and natural disasters, all of which can all result in disruptions to the  
218 electric grid. As our nation continues to face increasing and evolving cyber threats and other  
219 risks to the U.S. electric grid, the Department must likewise use an integrated approach in  
220 preparing for these threats.

221 In a major step toward this unified approach, the Department proposed to transition NPPD to an  
222 operational component, the Cyber and Infrastructure Protection Agency. This transition would  
223 elevate cyber operations and provide more comprehensive, coordinated risk management support  
224 to our stakeholders that reflect the growing convergence of cyber and physical threats. As one of  
225 the current priorities of the Secretary, the Department submitted a plan to the authorizers and  
226 appropriators calling for Congressional support and action. The transition, if implemented,  
227 would improve the services provided to NPPD’s stakeholders. Not only will the transition  
228 provide a more comprehensive approach to national level stakeholder engagement and  
229 relationship management, but stakeholders in the field will also have access to a unified catalog  
230 of services and tools that spans across all of NPPD. For example, the plan proposes to establish  
231 regional offices to better integrate field staff like Protective Security Advisors and Cyber  
232 Advisors, and support coordinated engagement with electric and other industry partners on cyber  
233 and physical vulnerability assessments, information sharing, incident response and other efforts.

234 We need to position ourselves to successfully address the realities of today’s cyber environment  
235 and its impacts on critical infrastructure. The proposed structural changes at the headquarters and  
236 regional levels will enable NPPD to be more efficient and effectively deliver the important tools

237 and resources to electric industry partners and other critical infrastructure stakeholders that need  
238 them the most. As outlined in my testimony today, the partnership and coordination structures  
239 that NPPD facilitates are crucial for supporting both steady-state risk management and incident  
240 response. NPPD is committed to ensuring that our partners understand how disruptions and  
241 attacks on infrastructure can impact homeland security, community resilience, and our economy,  
242 and have the tools to drive informed action to mitigate those risks.

243 Chairman Barletta, and members of this subcommittee, thank you again for the opportunity to  
244 appear before you today to discuss NPPD's efforts in managing the physical consequences of  
245 cyber threats.

246 I look forward to your questions.